

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
	·	·	

09/117,357

09/22/98

STOCKEMANN

EXAMINER

K SCH1655

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

DATE MANCED ROIX MUIRHEI, C

1614

05/26/00

MILLEN WHITE ZELANO & BRANIGAN ARLINGTON COURTHOUSE PLAZA I 2200 CLARENDON BOULEVARD **SUITE 1400** ARLINGTON VA 22201

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

HM12/0526

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	09/117,357	Stuckmann et al.		
Omec Action Cammary	Examiner	Group Art Unit 14/4		
	Delacroix-M	wirhaid 14/4		
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet be	eneath the correspondence address—		
Period for Reply	0			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE		
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, ex Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, 	within the statutory minimu	um of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication .		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	14/00			
This action is FONAL.				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 (r formal matters, pros e D.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.	ecution as to the merits is closed in		
Disposition of Claims				
Of the above claim(s)————————————————————————————————————		is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
X Claim(s) 34 - 36	is/are allowed.			
% Claim(s) $34-36$ $%$ Claim(s) $10-33$ $37-39$	is/are rejected.			
Claim(s)		is/are objected to.		
□ Claim(s)				
Application Papers		requirement.		
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R	leview, PTO-948.			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.				
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.				
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)				
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unde All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International	priority documents ha	ve been		
*Certified copies not received:				
Attachment(s)				
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) □Int	erview Summary, PTO-413		
Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892		otice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152		
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	□ O t	ther		
Office Action Summary				

Application/Control Number: 09/117,357 Page 2

Art Unit: 1614

Applicant: STOCKMANN et al.

DETAILED ACTION

The following is responsive to Applicant's amendment received Feb. 14, 2000.

Claims 1-9 are cancelled. New claims 10-39 are added.

All previous claim objections and rejections set forth in paragraphs 3-6 of the office action mailed July 27, 1999, are withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendment and the remarks contained therein.

New Ground of Rejection

In view of Applicant's amendment, the following rejection is submitted hereinbelow:

Claim Objections

1. Claim 32 is objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase --said LHRH analogue is-- is missing from line 1. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 10-13, 22, 37 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Walker et al (of record herein).

Art Unit: 1614

Applicant: STOCKMANN et al.

Walker et al. disclose pharmaceutical compositions comprising an LHRH agonist, Zoladex in combination with an anti-estrogen, tamoxifen citrate. Said composition are administered to breast cancer patients for up to 12 months. Please see the abstract.

Claim 37 is anticipated by Walker because Walker discloses administration of an identical LHRH analogue and anti-estrogen to a host using Applicant's claimed method steps. Accordingly, inhibition of the side effects associated with administration of an LHRH analogue is inherent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to

Application/Control Number: 09/117,357

Art Unit: 1614

Applicant: STOCKMANN et al.

the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 19, 31, 33 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walker et al., supra.

Walker as applied above.

Walker does not specifically disclose a product having spatially, separated packaged LHRH analogue and anti-estrogen with instructions; however, it would have been obvious in view of the combination therapy disclosed in Walker to modify the composition into a packaged product because modification of known LHRH analogue/anti-estrogen compositions into kits with instructional material is not novel or unobvious and well within the capability of the skilled artisan.

7. Claims 10-33, 37-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dodge, 5,843,962 in view of Labrie et al., 5,395,842.

Dodge discloses a method of treating gynecological disorders such as ovarian dysgenesis, wherein said method comprises administering a composition comprising an effective amount of Raloxifene in combination with an LHRH agonist. Please see the abstract; col.2, lines 43-56; col. 4, lines 55-56. Dodge further discloses that Raloxifene is administered in dosage amounts ranging from .1 to 1000 mg/day and that the compositions may be administered orally or intravenously. See col. 2, line 65 to col. 3, line 4.

Application/Control Number: 09/117,357

Art Unit: 1614

Applicant: STOCKMANN et al.

Dodge does not disclose a method for treating endometrioses; however, the Examiner refers to Labrie et al., which discloses anti-estrogen compounds that may be useful in treating a variety of disorders including endometriosis. Please see the abstract; col. 4, lines 19-24.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method of Dodge to treat endometrioses because Labrie raises reasonable expectation of success by disclosing that anti-estrogens would be effective in treating such a gynecological disorder. Such a modification would have been motivated by the reasoned expectation of successfully treating endometriosis. Furthermore, one of ordinary skill in the art would expect that the synergistic effect of the LHRH agonist and anti-estrogen would be effective in treating other gynecological disorders including endometriosis disclosed by Labrie.

Concerning the claims drawn to specific LHRH analogs, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to determine any number of known LHRH analogues that would be equally effective in treating gynecological disorders, such as those disclosed in the prior art.

In addressing claims drawn to an article of manufacture, it would have been obvious in view of the combination therapy disclosed in Dodge to modify the composition into a packaged product because modification of known LHRH analogue/anti-estrogen compositions into kits with instructional material is not novel or unobvious and well within the capability of the skilled artisan.

Finally, treatment of myomas would be obvious in view of the prior art's teaching of using LHRH analogues and anti-estrogens in the treatment of various gynecological disorders.

Additionally, inhibition of the side effects associated with administration of LHRH analogues would have been obvious because the prior art discloses administration of substantially identical

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/117,357

Art Unit: 1614

Applicant: STOCKMANN et al.

LHRH analogues and anti-estrogens using substantially identical method steps claimed by Applicant.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 34-36 are free from the prior art.

Conclusion

Claims 10-33, 37-39 are rejected.

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/117,357

Art Unit: 1614

Applicant: STOCKMANN et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cybille Delacroix-Muirheid whose telephone number is (703) 306-3227. The examiner can normally be reached on Tue-Fri from 8:30 to 6:00. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Mondays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marianne Cintins, can be reached on (703) 308-4725. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

CDM

May 22, 2000

MARIANNE M. CINTINS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
GROUP 120